

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 131/2022/SCIC

Mr. Isidoro Agostinho Tome Carvalho,
H.No. 116, Nauta,
Cortalim-Goa 403710.

.....Appellant

V/S

The Public Information Officer,
Dy. Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Sub-Divisional Police Officer,
Panaji-Goa.

.....Respondent

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 16/05/2022

Decided on: 18/10/2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Mr. Isidoro Agostinho Tome Carvalho r/o. H. No. 116, Nauta, Cortalim-Goa by his application dated 12/01/2022 filed under sec 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panaji Police Station at Panaji-Goa.
2. The said application was responded by the PIO on the 20/01/2022 informing the Appellant that, the information sought by the Appellant is exempted under Section 8(1)(h) of the Act.
3. Not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, the Appellant preferred first appeal on 08/02/2022 before the Superintendent of Police, North Goa at Porvorim-Goa being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
4. The FAA by its order upheld the reply of the PIO and dismissed the first appeal on 06/04/2022.
5. Aggrieved with the order of the FAA, the Appellant landed before the Commission with this second appeal under Section 19(3) of the Act with the prayer to direct the PIO to furnish the information and also to impose penalty on the PIO under Section 20 of the Act.

6. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which representative of the PIO, Shri. Vithaldas Kuttikar appeared and placed on record the reply of the PIO on 30/06/2022. The Appellant has rebutted the reply of the PIO by filing his rejoinder / written submissions on 25/07/2022 and the matter was posted for final argument.
7. During the course of final arguments, the PIO, Shri. Sudesh R. Naik appeared and submitted that, investigation of the present case is completed and over and the same is forwarded to the office of Sub-Divisional Magistrate at Panaji and that he is ready and willing to furnish the information to the Appellant and sought time to comply the procedure.
8. In the course of hearing today i.e on 18/10/2022, the representative of the PIO, Shri. Vithaldas Kuttikar appeared and furnished the bunch of documents to the Appellant and submitted that he has provided all the information to the Appellant. The Appellant scrutinised the documents and submitted that he is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and made endorsement on the appeal memo "Received copies as per RTI application".

In view of the endorsement made by the Appellant on the appeal memo, the appeal is disposed off.

- Proceedings closed.
- Pronounced in the open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner